arXiv:1610.07905v2 [math.MG] 4 Dec 2016

ON YOMDIN'S VERSION OF A LIPSCHITZ IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM

MACIEJ P. DENKOWSKI

Abstract. In his beautiful paper on the central set from 1981, Y. Yomdin makes use of a Lipschitz Inverse Function Theorem that unfortunately up to this day remains unproved. Herein we show that this Theorem in Yomdin's version holds true for a scalar function and we give a possible correct version of it in the general case. From this we obtain a weaker, but complete Generic Structure Theorem concerning the medial axis (central set) of a subset of in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

1. Introduction

In [6] Yomdin considers the following situation: $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is nonempty and closed, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is such a point that the compact set of closest points

$$m(x_0) := \{x \in M \mid ||x_0 - x|| = \operatorname{dist}(x_0, M)\}$$

consists of at least two disjoint closed sets. Fix any $k \geq 2$ such that $m(x_0)$ is the union of k disjoint closed nonempty sets M_j (in particular k does not exceed the number of connected components of $m(x_0)$). Then we choose disjoint neighbourhoods $V_j \supset \overline{W_j} \supset M_j$ and put $\delta_j(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, M \cap \overline{W_j})^2$. The germ of δ_j depends only on M_j and in some neighbourhood $U_0 \ni x_0$ there is $\delta(x) = \min \delta_j(x)$.

Recall the so-called *medial axis* (self-conflict set or exceptional set) of M (see [4] with this notation, but also [2])

$$E = E_M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \#m(x) > 1\}.$$

This set plays an major role e.g. in pattern recognition.

Let $E(x_0) := \{x \in U_0 \mid \exists i \neq j \colon \delta_i(x) = \delta_j(x) = \delta(x)\}$ (this is a part of the conflict set of $M \cap \overline{W_j}$). Then $E(x_0) \subset E$ and $U_0 \cap E \setminus E(x_0) = \bigcup_1^k E_j(x_0)$ for some sets $E_j(x_0) \subset E_{M \cap \overline{W_j}}$ (a kind of self-conflict sets) — cf. [6].

Theorem 1.1 ([6] Theorem 1)**.** *In the setting introduced above, assume that $k \leq n+1$ and for any choice of points y_j in the convex hulls $\operatorname{cvx}(M_j)$, the system $y_1, \ldots, y_k$ defines a $(k-1)$-dimensional simplex in $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Date: January 23rd 2014.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32B20, 26A27.

Key words and phrases. Medial axis, central set, Clarke's subgradient, Lipschitz Inverse Function Theorem.

Then there is a neighbourhood U of x_0 and a bilipschitz homeomorphism $\phi\colon (U, x_0) \to (\Delta^{k-1} \times (0,1)^{n-k+1}, (c, 0))$ where Δ^{k-1} is the open $(k-1)$-dimensional simplex with centre c and $\phi(E(x_0) \cap U) = E^{(k)} \times (0,1)^{n-k+1}$ where $E^{(k)} := E_{\partial\Delta^{k-1}} \cap \Delta^{k-1}$.

Yomdin's proof is based on a kind of Implicit Function Theorem for Lipschitz functions (LIFT) derived from Clarke's Inverse Function Theorem for Lipschitz functions [3]. Unfortunately, there is no such Lipschitz Implicit Function Theorem proved anywhere and the version cited in [6] seems to be altogether wrong. By the main result of [1] we see that the theorem above is true for $k = 2$, and the example from [1] Section 3 with $n = 3$, $k = 4$ and the sets M_j reduced to points lying on a circle shows that this Theorem in this form cannot be true in general.

Here we give a possible correct version of LIFT and apply it to obtain a proper formulation of Yomdin's Structure Theorem. We also show that Yomdin's version of LIFT is true for scalar functions.

Recall that by the Rademacher Theorem any locally Lipschitz function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ has a well defined (and locally bounded) gradient almost everywhere: the set D_f of differentiability points is dense. We define after [2] the *generalized gradient at* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as the convex hull of the set of all possible limits $\lim \operatorname{grad} f(x_\nu)$ when $x_\nu \to x$. We denote the resulting nonempty convex compact set by $\partial f(x)$. The set $\partial f(x)$ is reduced to a singleton (being the gradient of f at x) iff the function f is differentiable at x and $\operatorname{grad} f|_{D_f}$ is continuous at x. Of course the same arguments apply to a vector-valued function. More can be found in [2].

2. Main results

In [3] Clarke proved the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $F\colon (\mathbb{R}^N, a) \to (\mathbb{R}^N, 0)$ be a Lipschitz germ. If any $\ell \in \partial F(a)$ is an isomorphism, then F has a Lipschitz inverse.*

From this we deduce the general Implicit Function Theorem:

Theorem 2.2 (Lipschitz Implicit Function Theorem)**.** *Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}^m_x \times \mathbb{R}^k_y \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be a Lipschitz germ at (x_0, y_0) and $f(x_0, y_0) = z_0$. Assume that for any $\ell \in \partial f(x_0, y_0)$ there is*

$$(*) \qquad \operatorname{Ker}\ell \cap (\{0\}^m \times \mathbb{R}^k) = \{0\}^{m+k}.$$

Then there is a neighbourhood $U \times V$ of (x_0, y_0) and a unique Lipschitz function $g\colon (U, x_0) \to (V, y_0)$ such that $f^{-1}(z_0) \cap (U \times V) = \operatorname{graph}(g)$.

Remark 2.3. Before we prove this theorem, let us recall that in Yomdin's version, instead of $(*)$ there was a weaker assumption, namely, that each $\ell \in \partial f(x_0, y_0)$ has maximal rank.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Consider the function

$$F\colon (\mathbb{R}^{m+k}, (x_0, y_0)) \to (\mathbb{R}^{m+k}, (x_0, z_0))$$

defined as $F(x,y) = (x, f(x,y))$. Obviously F is Lipschitz at (x_0, y_0) and it is easy to see that any $L \in \partial F(x_0, y_0)$ is of the form $L(x,y) = (x, \ell(x,y))$ for some $\ell \in \partial f(x_0, y_0)$.

In order to apply Clarke's Inverse Function Theorem we have to check that any such L has maximal rank. This is clearly equivalent to the property $\mathrm{Ker}\ell \cap (\{0\}^m \times \mathbb{R}^k) = \{0\}^{m+k}$ we assumed. Therefore, there is a Lipschitz function $G\colon (\mathbb{R}^{m+k}, (x_0, z_0)) \to (\mathbb{R}^{m+k}, (x_0, y_0))$ defined between neighbourhoods $W \times V$ and $U \times U'$ and such that $G \circ F$ and $F \circ G$ are the identity maps. Of course, there must be $G(x,y) = (x, h(x,y))$.

The resulting function h is Lipschitz at (x_0, z_0), hence $g(x) := h(x, z_0)$ is Lipschitz from U to V with $g(x_0) = y_0$. It is easy to see that $f(x,y) = z_0$ iff $y = g(x)$ and the theorem is proved. □

Combining the function F from the proof with a translation we obtain:

Corollary 2.4. *Assume that f, (x_0, y_0) satisfy the assumptions of LIFT. Then there exists a germ of a bilipschitz homeomorphism*

$$\varphi\colon (\mathbb{R}^{n+k}, (x_0, y_0)) \to (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k, (0, z_0))$$

such that $f = \pi \circ \varphi$ where $\pi\colon \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is the natural projection.

Now we may try to repeat Yomdin's proof from [6]. The main and actually the only problem is to construct a Lipschitz germ $H\colon (\mathbb{R}^n, x_0) \to (\Delta^{k-1}, c)$ such that
(1) $E(x_0) = H^{-1}(E^{(k)})$ and
(2) $\partial H(x_0)$ satisfies the assumption $(*)$ of LIFT.
Then the rest follows from LIFT, or rather the Corollary 2.4 applied to H.

The construction of H will show what kind of extra assumptions we have to consider. Let $H := h_1 \circ h$ where $h(x) = (\delta_1(x), \ldots, \delta_k(x))$ and $h_1(t) = t/\sum_1^k t_j$ for $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Then H is defined in a neighbourhood of x_0 and takes values in the $(k-1)$-dimensional simplex in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with $H(x_0) = c$.

By Proposition 1 of [6] the set $\partial H(x_0)$ is contained in $\{d_{z_0}h_1 \circ \ell \mid \ell \in \partial h(x_0)\}$ where $z_0 = h(x_0)$. Proposition 2 from [6] shows that any $\ell \in \partial h(x_0)$ is of the form $d_{x_0}h_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}$ where

$$h_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}(x) = (||x - y_1||^2, \ldots, ||x - y_k||^2)$$

and $y_j \in M_j$. Finally, by Lemma 3 from [6], the points $y_1, \ldots, y_k$ form a non-degenerate simplex iff $h_1 \circ h_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}$ is a submersion, i.e. $h_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}$ is transversal to the diagonal of $\mathbb{R}^k$ (the latter being the kernel of the differential $d_{z_0}h_1$).

Of course, our assumption $(*)$ implies that any ℓ has to have maximal rank and so in particular the assumptions of Yomdin's should be fulfilled. But we need some other geometrical assumptions which would warrant that the kernels of the linear maps ℓ are well-situated with respect to the coordinates: we need an assumption $(**)$ which implies that there is a $(k-1)$-dimensional linear subspace L such that for any choice $y_j \in \mathrm{cvx}M_j$,

$$(T) \qquad L \cap \mathrm{Ker}(d_{z_0}h_1 \circ d_{x_0}h_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}) = \{0\}.$$

Observe that $d_{x_0}h_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}(x) = 2(\langle y_1 - x_0, x\rangle, \ldots, \langle y_k - x_0, x\rangle)$, and so we have $\mathrm{Ker}(d_{z_0}h_1 \circ d_{x_0}h_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}) = d_{x_0}h^{-1}_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}(D_k)$ where D_k is the diagonal of $\mathbb{R}^k$.

Clearly (T) is equivalent to saying that

$$\langle y_i - y_1, x\rangle = 0, j = 2, \ldots, k \text{ and } x \in L \;\Rightarrow\; x = 0,$$

or, in other words,

$$L \cap (\mathrm{Lin}\{y_i - y_1, i = 2, \ldots, k\})^\perp = \{0\}.$$

Note that $\dim \mathrm{Lin}\{y_i - y_1, i = 2, \ldots, k\} \leq k - 1$ and so

$$\dim L \cap (\mathrm{Lin}\{y_i - y_1, i = 2, \ldots, k\})^\perp \geq k - 1 + (n - k + 1) - n = 0.$$

Now we see that (T) actually requires $\dim \mathrm{Lin}\{y_i - y_1, i = 2, \ldots, k\} = k - 1$. In particular, from this discussion, we easily obtain the following:

Theorem 2.5. *For $n = 3$ theorem 1.1 is true if all the sets M_j are reduced to points which for $k = 4$ must lie in a general position (not on a circle in the supporting sphere $\mathbb{S}(x_0, \mathrm{dist}(x_0, M))$).*

Indeed, for $k = 4$ points in general position, we may directly apply Clarke's Inverse Function Theorem in order to get (T).

Remark 2.6. Note that by the Rank Theorem presented in [4], for the generic point $x_0 \in E$, the set $m(x_0)$ is indeed discrete when M is definable or subanalytic.

Theorem 2.7. *Yomdin's LIFT (cf. Remark 2.3) holds for $k = 1$, i.e. for a function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Actually, we will show that for $k = 1$ Yomdin's condition that any $\ell \in \partial f(x_0, y_0)$ is non-degenerate is equivalent to $(*)$. Of course, we just need to show that Yomdin's condtion implies $(*)$.

In our case Yomdin's condition says that any $\ell \in \partial f(x_0, y_0)$ is in fact a non-zero vector from $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. Then $\mathrm{Ker}\ell$ corresponds to the hyperplane $(\mathbb{R}\ell)^\perp$.

The set $\partial f(x_0, y_0)$ is closed and convex and it does not contain zero. Therefore, there is a hyperplane $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ such that $\Lambda \cap \partial f(x_0, y_0) = \varnothing$. Let L denote the line $\Lambda^\perp$.

If for some $\ell \in \partial f(x_0, y_0)$ we had $\mathrm{Ker}\ell \cap L \neq \{0\}$, there would necessarily be $L \subset \mathrm{Ker}\ell$. The latter in turn implies that $\ell \in \Lambda$ which is a contradiction. The proof is accomplished. □

3. Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Lev Birbrair for attracting his attention to the problem and for his interest in the subject. Many thanks are due also to Dirk Siersma for interesting discussions on the matter.

During the preparation of this note, the author was partially supported by Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant IP2011 009571.

References

[1] L. Birbrair, D. Siersma, *Metric properties of conflict sets*, Houston J. Math. 35 (1) (2009), 73-80;
[2] F. Clarke, *Generalized gradients and applications*, Trans. A. M. S. 205 (1975), 247-262;
[3] F. Clarke, *On the inverse function theorem*, Pacific J. Math. 64 no. 1 (1976), 97-102;
[4] M. P. Denkowski, *On the points realizing the distance to a definable set*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 378 (2011), 592-602;
[5] D. H. Fremlin, *Skeletons and central sets*, Bull. London Math. Soc. (3) 74 (1997), 701-720;
[6] Y. Yomdin, *On the local structure of a generic central set*, Comp. Math. 43 no. 2 (1981), 225-238.

Jagiellonian University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Institute of Mathematics, Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: maciej.denkowski@uj.edu.pl